Worksheet 6. Application Summary

	Therefore, this worksheet cannot be claimed as CBI.

1. Name of Applicant:	Michigan Seedling Growers Association			
2. Location:	MIchigan			
3. Crop:	Evergreen and deciduous tree seedlings			
4. Pounds of Methyl Bromide Request	ed 2005			
5. Area Treated with Methyl Bromide	2005 84 acres units			
6. If methyl bromide is requested for additional years, reason for request:				
More time is needed for the development of safe and effective alternatives for nurseries. Ongoing research and field trials require additional time to generate effective results.				
2006 20,160 lbs.	Area Treated 84 acres units			
2007 20 160 lba	Avec Treated 94			

Place an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.

Potential Alternatives	Not	Not Not	Reasons	
	Technically Feasible	Economically Feasible		
Telone II (1,3-dichloropropene)	х		No herbicidal activity; difficulties with effective application due to soil temperature requirements for treatment; phytotoxicity to crops; hazard to human health.	
solarization	х		Not effective in Michigan climate.	
crop rotation/fallowing	х		Currently used by Michigan growers. Not able to effectively control all soil-born fungal diseases, nematodes or weeds.	
Basamid		х	results up to now have been poor under MI conditions	
metam-sodium	х		Results under MI nursery conditions have been poor	
general IPM	х		Currently used by Michigan growers. Not able to effectively control all soil-born fungal diseases, nematodes or weeds.	
plowing and tillage	х		Currently used by Michigan growers. Not able to effectively control all soil-born fungal diseases, nematodes or weeds.	
flooding and water management	х		Not possible due to site limitations.	
physical removal/sanitation	х		Currently used by Michigan growers. Not able to effectively control all soil-born fungal diseases, nematodes or weeds.	